1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT		
2	United States Attorney JUSTIN L. LEE Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100		
3			
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6	United States of America		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-cr-00137-JAM-2	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING	
13	v.	EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; ORDER	
14	MYRA BOLECHE MINKS,	DATE: November 19, 2024	
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	1. By previous order, this matte	er was set for status on November 19, 2024.	
19	2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the further status		
20	conference until February 11, 2025, at 09:00 a.m., and to exclude time between		
21	November 19, 2024, and February 11, 2025, under Local Codes T2 and T4.		
22	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the		
23	following:		
24	a) The government has re	epresented that the discovery associated with	
25	this case includes approximately 3,800 pages of reports, video and audio recordings,		
26	and photographs. In addition, digital device extractions have been produced in		
27	discovery and amount to approximately 10 TB of data. All of this discovery has		
28	been produced directly to counsel.		

- b) Counsel for the defendant desires additional time to review the discovery, discuss the case with the defendant, conduct investigation and research related to the criminal charges, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Further, the defense has provided information to the United States that the requires the United States to conduct follow up investigation. That follow up investigation is not yet complete.
- d) Counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the aboverequested continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - e) The government does not object to the continuance.
- f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of November 19, 2024, to February 11, 2025, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii), (iv) [Local Code T2 and T4] because this is a complex case and it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

22 | ///

20

21

23 | ///

 $_{24} \|_{/\!/\!/}$

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | /// 28 | ///

Case 2:22-cr-00137-JAM Document 163 Filed 11/15/24 Page 3 of 3

1	1 Nothing in this stimulati	on and order shall prealude a finding that other
	4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other	
2	provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from	
3	the period within which a trial must commence.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
5		
6	11	
7	Dated: November 14, 2024	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney
8		
9		/s/ JUSTIN L. LEE JUSTIN L. LEE
10		Assistant United States Attorney
11		
12	Dated: November 14, 2024	/s/ TODD LERAS TODD LERAS
13		Counsel for Defendant Myra Minks
14		
15		
16		
$_{17}$	ORDER	
18	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.	
19	Dated: November 15, 2024	/s/ John A. Mendez
20		THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
21		SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		